UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,356   | 03/30/2007  | Yair Eshel           | JMBDP0106US         | 8122             |
| 23908 7590 08/11/2009<br>RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP<br>1621 EUCLID AVENUE<br>NINETEENTH FLOOR<br>CLEVELAND, OH 44115 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |             |                      | FETSUGA, ROBERT M   |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 3751                |                  |
|  |             |                      |                     |                  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |             |                      | 08/11/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Communication  |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/595,356   | ESHEL ET AL.  |              |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |              |  |  |  |
|  |  | Robert M. Fetsuga  | 3751  |              |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>or Reply  | opears on the cover shee   | t with the correspondence a   | ddress       |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior<br>to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted<br>the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted<br>the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted<br>the period for reply will be statuted by the office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMU.<br>.136(a). In no event, however, mad will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become | JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this are ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |              |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.  | Anril 2009   |   |              |  |  |  |
| -  | · · · <u> </u>   | is action is non-final.  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| ٥,١  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| ,  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | or election requirement.   |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | on Papers  | ·  |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | •  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |              |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice (3) Inform   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date   | Paper<br>5) Notice   | ew Summary (PTO-413)<br>No(s)/Mail Date<br>of Informal Patent Application                                     |              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3751

- 1. The drawings are objected to because the leader associated with reference numeral "316" in Fig. 3 appears mis-directed. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 5, line 30 and page 6, line 6, "425"

Art Unit: 3751

designates different elements. Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "mounting apparatus" set forth in claim 1 could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is unclear as to whether the "flush toilet" is intended to be part of the claimed combination since structure of the "dispenser" is defined as being connected thereto (lns. 5-18, but no positive structural antecedent basis therefor has been defined.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/595,356

Art Unit: 3751

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson and Lindauer.

The Anderson reference discloses a dispenser comprising: an airtight chamber 22; mounting apparatus (depicted); and a dispensing mechanism including a cup 20, a vertical chemical transfer tube 34, an air passageway 18,24, a tank 10, and a chemical exit passageway 44. Therefore, Anderson teaches all claimed elements except for the air and chemical exit passageways being tubes, and for the tank being part of a toilet.

The choice of fluid passageway structure would appear an obvious choice to be made. The Lindauer reference (Fig. 8) discloses use of tubes 33,34 for creating air and chemical exit passageways in a chemical dispenser. Moreover, Lindauer teaches use of the chemical dispenser with a toilet tank 10. It would

Art Unit: 3751

have been obvious to use the Anderson chemical dispenser with a toilet tank as being another type of tank which exhibits a rising and falling liquid level during operation.

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 at page 4 of the response filed April 21, 2009 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. The grounds of rejection have been reconsidered in light of applicant's arguments, but are still deemed to be proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886

Application/Control Number: 10/595,356 Page 6

Art Unit: 3751

who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday. The Office central fax number is 571/273-8300.

/Robert M. Fetsuga/ Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751